

September 14, 2009

Via Overnight Delivery

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: *California Independent System Operator Corporation*
Docket No. ER09-_____-000

Transmission Access Charge Informational Filing

Dear Secretary Bose:

The California Independent System Operator Corporation (“ISO”) hereby submits an original and five copies of an informational filing that is intended to provide notice regarding the ISO’s revised transmission access charges effective May 1, 2009. The basis for the revision is to implement the revised transmission revenue requirements (“TRRs”) of Startrans IO, L.L.C. and Atlantic Path 15, LLC. The revision to the TRR of Startrans was set forth in an offer of settlement approved by the Commission in an order issued on July 31, 2009 in Docket No. ER08-413.¹ The revision to the TRR of Atlantic Path 15 was set forth in an offer of settlement approved by the Commission in an order issued on August 3, 2009 in Dockets No. ER08-374 and EL08-38.²

Changes in Rates

The transmission access charges provided in the present filing revise the access charges and wheeling access charges provided for informational purposes in the ISO’s submission of May 18, 2009 in Docket No. ER09-1171 (deemed by the Commission as filed on May 19, 2009). The changes in the present filing are effective May 1, 2009, in accordance with ISO Tariff Appendix F, Schedule 3, Section 8.

The timing of the Commission’s orders in the Startrans and Atlantic Path 15 proceedings was such that the ISO was able to incorporate the revised TRRs in these proceedings into its standard settlement process for its transmission access charge rates for the month of May 2009. However, these revised TRRs also involve the need

¹ *Startrans IO, L.L.C.*, 128 FERC ¶ 61,118 (2009).

² *Atlantic Path 15, LLC*, 128 FERC ¶ 61,130 (2009).

for recalculation of transmission access charges and provision of refunds for periods prior to May 2009. The ISO's ability to calculate and provide these refunds is greatly complicated by the ISO's transition to a new settlements software system on March 31, 2009. The ISO is currently determining the recalculated rates and refunds resulting from these proceedings and the manner by which the ISO will provide these refunds. The ISO will submit a separate informational filing describing the effect of the revised Startrans and Atlantic Path 15 TRRs approved in ER08-413, ER08-374, and EL08-38 and the need for refunds for the months of February 2008 through April 2009 at a later date.

Worksheets illustrating the recalculation of the ISO's transmission access charges are included with the present transmittal letter as Attachment A. The recalculated rates for each of the TAC Areas, effective May 1, 2009, are as follows:

Northern Area-	\$4.1028/MWh
East/Central Area	\$4.1958/MWh
Southern Area	\$4.1674/MWh

Communications regarding this filing should be addressed to the following individuals, whose names should be placed on the official service list established by the Secretary with respect to this submittal:

Deborah A. Le Vine*	Michael D. Dozier*, Senior Counsel
California Independent System Operator Corporation	California Independent System Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road	151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630	Folsom, CA 95630
Phone: (916) 351-2144	Phone: (916) 608-7048
Fax: (916) 351-2267	Fax: (916) 608-7222
dlevine@caiso.com	mdozier@caiso.com

*Individuals designated for service pursuant to Rule 203(b)(3), 18 C.F.R. § 385.203(b)(3).

The ISO has served copies of this transmittal letter and attachments hereto on the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, the California Energy Commission, and the participating transmission owners, and on all parties with effective Scheduling Coordinator Agreements under the ISO tariff. In addition, the ISO is posting this transmittal letter and all attachments on the ISO's website.

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose
September 14, 2009
Page 3

An additional copy of this filing is enclosed to be date-stamped and returned in the enclosed, pre-paid Federal Express envelope. If there are any questions concerning this filing, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Michael D. Dozier". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned above a horizontal line.

Michael D. Dozier
Senior Counsel
California Independent System Operator
Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630
Tel: (916) 608-7048
Fax: (916) 608-7222

Attachment

ATTACHMENT A

May 01, 2009 TAC Rates Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

Based on FERC Orders on Offers of Settlement from Atlantic Path 15 (Docket Nos. ER08-374 and EL08-38) and Startrans (Docket No. ER08-413)

TAC Components:

	Filed Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [1]	Filed Annual TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [2]	Filed Annual Gross Load (MWh) [3]	TAC Area [4]	Total Filed TRR (\$) [5] =[1]+[2]	EHVF only Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWh) [6] =[1]/[3]	EHVF only TAC Area Rate (\$/MWh) [7] =[21]	HV Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWh) [8] =[5]/[3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWh) [9] =[19]
PGE	\$ 134,892,376	\$ 166,550,793	94,466,738	N	\$ 301,443,169	\$ 1.4279	\$ 1.8538	\$ 3.1910	\$ 4.1028
SCE	\$ 185,323,802	\$ 216,797,390	92,450,710	EC	\$ 402,121,192	\$ 2.0046	\$ 1.9420	\$ 4.3496	\$ 4.1958
SDGE	\$ 44,809,755	\$ 70,036,379	21,596,392	S	\$ 114,846,134	\$ 2.0749	\$ 1.9184	\$ 5.3178	\$ 4.1674
Anaheim	\$ 20,212,164	\$ -	2,766,313	EC	\$ 20,212,164	\$ 7.3065	\$ 1.9420	\$ 7.3065	\$ 4.1958
Azusa	\$ 1,226,554	\$ -	239,575	EC	\$ 1,226,554	\$ 5.1197	\$ 1.9420	\$ 5.1197	\$ 4.1958
Banning	\$ 930,800	\$ -	139,457	EC	\$ 930,800	\$ 6.6745	\$ 1.9420	\$ 6.6745	\$ 4.1958
Pasadena	\$ 6,796,373	\$ -	1,239,884	EC	\$ 6,796,373	\$ 5.4815	\$ 1.9420	\$ 5.4815	\$ 4.1958
Riverside	\$ 15,236,716	\$ -	1,814,019	EC	\$ 15,236,716	\$ 8.3994	\$ 1.9420	\$ 8.3994	\$ 4.1958
Vernon	\$ 1,204,988	\$ -	1,288,684	EC	\$ 1,204,988	\$ 0.9351	\$ 1.9420	\$ 0.9351	\$ 4.1958
Atlantic P15	\$ -	\$ 28,118,790	-	N	\$ 28,118,790	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4.1028
Startrans	\$ 4,760,375	\$ -	-	EC	\$ 4,760,375	\$ -	\$ 1.9420	\$ -	\$ 4.1958
ISO Total	\$ 415,393,902	\$ 481,503,352	216,001,772		\$ 896,897,254				

STEP 1: Calculate the Access Charge Rate for each TAC Area.

TAC-Area portion is the percent of Total TRR in each area which has not yet transitioned to the ISO (10%) divided by the Total Load of each area.

The ISO portion is the percent of all TRR which has transitioned to ISO-Wide (90%), plus the TRR of New HV Facilities, divided by total load.

	Annual TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [10] =[1]	Annual TAC Area TRR (\$) [11] =[10] x 10%	Annual TAC Area TRR (w/Load) (\$) [11B] =[10] w/Load x 10%	Annual Gross Load (MWh) [12] =[3]	TAC Area Rate (\$/MWh) [13] =[11]/[12]	TAC Area Rate (TRR w/Load) (\$/MWh) [13B] =[11B]/[12]																							
North	\$ 134,892,376	\$ 13,489,238	\$ 13,489,238	94,466,738	\$ 0.1428	\$ 0.1428	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>TAC Rate (TAC Area + ISO Wide) (\$/MWh) [19] =[13]+[17]</th> <th>Wheeling Rate (TAC Area + ISO Wide) (\$/MWh) [20] =[19]</th> <th>Existing HV Facilities (EHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [21] =[13B]+[18]</th> <th>New HV Facilities (NHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [22] =[15]/[16]</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>North</td> <td>\$ 4.1028</td> <td>\$ 4.1028</td> <td>\$ 1.8538</td> <td>\$ 2.2292</td> </tr> <tr> <td>East/Central</td> <td>\$ 4.1958</td> <td>\$ 4.1958</td> <td>\$ 1.9420</td> <td>\$ 2.2292</td> </tr> <tr> <td>South</td> <td>\$ 4.1674</td> <td>\$ 4.1674</td> <td>\$ 1.9184</td> <td>\$ 2.2292</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		TAC Rate (TAC Area + ISO Wide) (\$/MWh) [19] =[13]+[17]	Wheeling Rate (TAC Area + ISO Wide) (\$/MWh) [20] =[19]	Existing HV Facilities (EHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [21] =[13B]+[18]	New HV Facilities (NHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [22] =[15]/[16]	North	\$ 4.1028	\$ 4.1028	\$ 1.8538	\$ 2.2292	East/Central	\$ 4.1958	\$ 4.1958	\$ 1.9420	\$ 2.2292	South	\$ 4.1674	\$ 4.1674	\$ 1.9184	\$ 2.2292		
	TAC Rate (TAC Area + ISO Wide) (\$/MWh) [19] =[13]+[17]	Wheeling Rate (TAC Area + ISO Wide) (\$/MWh) [20] =[19]	Existing HV Facilities (EHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [21] =[13B]+[18]	New HV Facilities (NHVF) only TAC Rate (\$/MWh) [22] =[15]/[16]																									
North	\$ 4.1028	\$ 4.1028	\$ 1.8538	\$ 2.2292																									
East/Central	\$ 4.1958	\$ 4.1958	\$ 1.9420	\$ 2.2292																									
South	\$ 4.1674	\$ 4.1674	\$ 1.9184	\$ 2.2292																									
East/C	\$ 235,691,771	\$ 23,569,177	\$ 23,093,140	99,938,642	\$ 0.2358	\$ 0.2311																							
South	\$ 44,809,755	\$ 4,480,976	\$ 4,480,976	21,596,392	\$ 0.2075	\$ 0.2075																							
Total	\$ 415,393,902	\$ 41,539,390	\$ 41,063,353	216,001,772																									
	ISO Wide TRR Existing HV Facilities (\$) [14] Total ([10]) x 90%	ISO Wide TRR EHVF w/Load (\$) [14B] Total ([10] w/Load) x 90%	ISO Wide TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [15] = Total [2]	ISO Wide Annual Gross Load (MWh) [16] = Total [3]	ISO Wide Rate (\$/MWh) [17] = ([14]+[15])/[16]	EHVF ISO-Wide Rate TRR w/Load only (\$/MWh) [18] =[14B]/[16]																							
ISO-wide	\$ 373,854,512	\$ 369,570,175	\$ 481,503,352	216,001,772	\$ 3.9600	\$ 1.7110																							

May 01, 2009 TAC Rates

Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 2: Calculate the HV Access Charge the UDC/MSS pays on Filed Gross Load and Benefit/Burden. Note: ISO total for (Benefit)/Burden may not equal zero due to rounding of TAC Rate.

TAC Area	Filed Gross Load (MWH)	EHVF only TAC Rate (\$/MWH)	Amount Paid Based on Filed Gross Load (\$)	EHVF only Utility Specific Rate (\$/MWH)	Would Have Paid w/ EHVF Utility Specific Rate (\$)	EHVF Access Charge (Benefit)/Burden (\$)
[23] =[4]	[24] =[3]	[25] =[7]	[26] =[24] x [25]	[27] =[6]	[28] =[24] x [27]	[29] =[26] - [28]
PGE N	94,466,738	\$ 1.8538	\$ 175,117,953	\$ 1.4279	\$ 134,892,376	\$ 40,225,577
SCE EC	92,450,710	\$ 1.9420	\$ 179,542,253	\$ 2.0046	\$ 185,323,802	\$ (5,781,549)
SDGE S	21,596,392	\$ 1.9184	\$ 41,431,517	\$ 2.0749	\$ 44,809,755	\$ (3,378,238)
Anaheim EC	2,766,313	\$ 1.9420	\$ 5,372,269	\$ 7.3065	\$ 20,212,164	\$ (14,839,895)
Azusa EC	239,575	\$ 1.9420	\$ 465,262	\$ 5.1197	\$ 1,226,554	\$ (761,292)
Banning EC	139,457	\$ 1.9420	\$ 270,830	\$ 6.6745	\$ 930,800	\$ (659,970)
Pasadena EC	1,239,884	\$ 1.9420	\$ 2,407,895	\$ 5.4815	\$ 6,796,373	\$ (4,388,478)
Riverside EC	1,814,019	\$ 1.9420	\$ 3,522,883	\$ 8.3994	\$ 15,236,716	\$ (11,713,833)
Vernon EC	1,288,684	\$ 1.9420	\$ 2,502,666	\$ 0.9351	\$ 1,204,988	\$ 1,297,678
Startrans EC	-	\$ 1.9420	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
ISO Total	216,001,772		\$ 410,633,527		\$ 410,633,527	\$ (0)

STEP 3: For Information Only -- Projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge for Existing Facilities.

\$32/32/8 million cap for IOUs; munis are held harmless; IOUs pay muni cost increases in proportion to their cap relative to the total cap.

EHVF Access Charge (Benefit)/Burden (\$)	IOU Burden Annual Cap (\$)	Amount IOUs' Cap Exceeds IOUs' Burden (\$)	Amount IOU's Burden Exceeds IOU's Cap (\$)	Payments by Entities with Net Benefit (\$)	Mitigation Payments (\$)	Adjusted Net (Benefit) / Burden (\$)	Reallocation IOU Burden (\$)	Transition Charge (\$)	Adjusted Net (Benefit) / Burden (\$)	Transition Charge Rate (\$/MWh)
[30] =[29]	[31]	[32] <i>IF ([31] - [30] > 0) = [31] - [30]. If no cap, then 0.</i>	[33] <i>IF [30] - [31] > 0 = [30] - [31]. If no cap, then 0.</i>	[34] <i>IOUs = ([32] / total[32]) x total[33]. Munis w/ Benefit = ([30] / total[30]) x total[33] - total[32]</i>	[35] = [34] - [33]	[36] = [30] + [35]	[37] <i>Reallocate IOU Burden [39] so it is proportional to IOU Cap [31] = [39] - [36]</i>	[38] = [35] + [37]	[39] = [36] + [37]	[40] = [38] / [24]
\$ 40,225,577	\$ 32,000,000	\$ 0	\$ 8,225,576.6668	\$ 0	\$ (8,225,577)	\$ 32,000,000	\$ (17,616,237)	\$ (25,841,813)	\$ 14,383,763	\$ (0.2736)
\$ (5,781,549)	\$ 32,000,000	\$ 37,781,549	\$ 0	\$ 7,319,057	\$ 7,319,057	\$ 1,537,509	\$ 12,846,255	\$ 20,165,312	\$ 14,383,763	\$ 0.2181
\$ (3,378,238)	\$ 8,000,000	\$ 11,378,238	\$ 0	\$ 2,204,197	\$ 2,204,197	\$ (1,174,041)	\$ 4,769,982	\$ 6,974,179	\$ 3,595,941	\$ 0.3229
\$ (14,839,895)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (14,839,895)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (14,839,895)	\$ 0
\$ (761,292)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (761,292)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (761,292)	\$ 0
\$ (659,970)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (659,970)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (659,970)	\$ 0
\$ (4,388,478)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (4,388,478)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (4,388,478)	\$ 0
\$ (11,713,833)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (11,713,833)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (11,713,833)	\$ 0
\$ 1,297,678	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,297,678	\$ 0	\$ (1,297,678)	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (1,297,678)	\$ 0	\$ (1.0070)
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Total	\$ 0	\$ 72,000,000	\$ 49,159,787	\$ 9,523,254	\$ 9,523,254	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

May 01, 2009 TAC Rates
Based on Filed Annual TRR/TRBA and Load Data

STEP 4: For Information Only -- Projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge for New Facilities and Total projected annual net benefits/burdens from Access Charge.

	Filed Annual TRR New HV Facilities (\$) [41] = [2]	ISO Wide Annual Gross Load (MWh) [42] = [3]	New HVTRR Rate (\$/MWH) [43] = ([15]) / [16]	New HVTRR Cost Responsibility (\$) [44] = ([42]) * [43]	NHVF Access Charge (Benefit)/Burden (\$) [45] = ([44]) - [41]	Total Access Charge (Benefit)/Burden (\$) [46] = ([45]) + [39]
PGE	\$ 166,550,793	94,466,738	\$ 2.2292	\$ 210,581,842	\$ 44,031,049	\$ 58,414,812
SCE	\$ 216,797,390	92,450,710	\$ 2.2292	\$ 206,087,785	\$ (10,709,605)	\$ 3,674,158
SDGE	\$ 70,036,379	21,596,392	\$ 2.2292	\$ 48,141,897	\$ (21,894,482)	\$ (18,298,541)
Anaheim	\$ -	2,766,313	\$ 2.2292	\$ 6,166,565	\$ 6,166,565	\$ (8,673,330)
Azusa	\$ -	239,575	\$ 2.2292	\$ 534,052	\$ 534,052	\$ (227,240)
Banning	\$ -	139,457	\$ 2.2292	\$ 310,873	\$ 310,873	\$ (349,097)
Pasadena	\$ -	1,239,884	\$ 2.2292	\$ 2,763,905	\$ 2,763,905	\$ (1,624,573)
Riverside	\$ -	1,814,019	\$ 2.2292	\$ 4,043,746	\$ 4,043,746	\$ (7,670,087)
Vernon	\$ -	1,288,684	\$ 2.2292	\$ 2,872,688	\$ 2,872,688	\$ 2,872,688
Atlantic P15	\$ 28,118,790	0	\$ 2.2292	\$ 0	\$ (28,118,790)	\$ (28,118,790)
Total	\$ 481,503,352	216,001,772		\$ 481,503,352	\$ 0	\$ 0