

Six Cities' Comments

Reactive Power Requirements and Financial Compensation Draft Final Proposal

Submitted by	Company	Date Submitted
<i>Margaret E. McNaul</i> mmcnaul@thompsoncoburn.com 202.585.6900	<i>Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, Pasadena, and Riverside, California (the "Six Cities")</i>	<i>Dec. 3, 2015</i>

This template has been created for submission of stakeholder comments on the draft final proposal for the Reactive Power Requirements and Financial Compensation initiative that was posted on November 12, 2015. The draft final proposal and other information related to this initiative may be found at: <http://www.aiso.com/informed/Pages/StakeholderProcesses/ReactivePowerRequirements-FinancialCompensation.aspx>.

Upon completion of this template, please submit it to initiativecomments@caiso.com. Submissions are requested by close of business on **December 3, 2015**.

1. Please indicate whether you support reactive power requirements for all resources.

Consistent with their prior comments in this initiative, the Six Cities support the ISO's proposal to require all resources, including asynchronous resources, to provide reactive power.

2. Please indicate whether you support the proposed technical requirements for asynchronous resources.

The Six Cities have no comments on the technical requirements for asynchronous resources.

3. Please indicate whether you support the current provision payments for providing reactive power outside of the standard required range.

The Six Cities support the ISO's decision not to move forward with establishing a new Exceptional Dispatch category to address reactive power provision by "atypical resources" and "unconventional situations" at this time. The Six Cities agree that there are a number of issues that need to be addressed to accommodate such an expansion of the ISO's Exceptional Dispatch authority.

4. Please indicate whether you support the proposal to not provide administrative payments for reactive power capability.

The Six Cities support the proposal not to provide capability payments for reactive power.

5. If you have any other comments, please provide them here.

The Six Cities appreciate the ISO's commitment to review and consider revisions to the methodology for allocating reactive power costs if such costs significantly increase in the future.