**Stakeholder Comments Template**

**Subject: Modifications to the Small Generator Interconnection Procedures Issues Paper and Meeting**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Submitted by** | **Company** | **Date Submitted** |
| *Please fill in name, email address, and contact number of specific person who can respond to any questions about these comments.* | *Please fill in here* | *Please fill in here* |

This template was created to help stakeholders submit written comments on topics related to the April 1, 2010 Modifications to the Small Generator Interconnection Procedures Issue Paper and April 12, 2010 Small Generator Interconnection Procedures Stakeholder Meeting. Please submit comments and thoughts (in MS Word) to [dkirrene@caiso.com](mailto:dkirrene@caiso.com) no later than the close of business on April 27, 2010.

The ISO is interested in knowing the importance and urgency of the issues identified through this stakeholder process. The issues identified below are further described in the Issues Paper. Please rate the importance of each issue as high, medium or low by checking the check box. In addition, please identify the urgency for getting each of the identified issues resolved. Check the urgent check box for issues that should be resolved in a FERC filing this year. Check the not urgent check box if the issue could be resolved beyond year-end. The information provided will assist the ISO in determining the scope of this stakeholder effort.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Study Process Issues** | | | |
|  | | **Importance** | **Urgency** |
| 2.1.1 Time required for the SGIP study process | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.1.2 SGIP serial study process coordination with the studies under the large generation interconnection procedures (LGIP) | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.1.3 Avoiding delays caused by the increasing volume of SGIP projects | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.1.4 Detail and necessity of the feasibility study | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.1.5 Interconnection request data requirements | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.1.6 Should the SGIP accommodate re-studies? | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.1.7 Availability of the current base case data for use by project developers | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.1.8 Delays and uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| Comments: |  | | |
| Solution Ideas: |  | | |
| **Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation** | | | |
| 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have “partial deliverability”? | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.2.4 How would a change in policy affect existing generation and/or existing projects in the queue? | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| Comments: |  | | |
| Solution Ideas: |  | | |
| **Issues relating to Cost Certainty** | | | |
| 2.3.1 Developers desire cost certainty | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.3.2 How to minimize the impacts caused by projects that drop out of the queue? | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.3.3 Accuracy of the per unit construction cost estimates | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.3.4 Effects of adding cost certainty measures to the overall SGIP timeline | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| Comments: |  | | |
| Solution Ideas: |  | | |
| **Issues related to Eligibility Criteria** | | | |
| 2.4.1 LGIP projects broken up into multiple SGIP projects | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.4.2 Real vs. Speculative projects | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.4.3 Generation MW size | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.4.4 MW Increases to existing projects | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.4.5 Site Control | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| Comments: |  | | |
| Solution Ideas: |  | | |
| **Issues related to application and study fees** | | | |
| 2.5.1 Appropriateness of amount | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| Comments: |  | | |
| Solution Ideas: |  | | |
| **Small Generator Interconnection Agreement Issues** | | | |
| 2.6.1 Pace of SGIA completion | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.6.2 Detail of the SGIA | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| Comments: |  | | |
| Solution Ideas: |  | | |
| **Miscellaneous SGIP tariff issues** | | | |
| 2.7.1 Detail of the SGIP tariff | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| 2.7.2 Clarity of SGIP tariff definitions | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| Comments: |  | | |
| Solution Ideas: |  | | |
| **Additional Issues that should be considered** | | | |
| *Please include additional issues here.* | | high medium low | urgent  not urgent |
| Comments: |  | | |
| Solution Ideas: |  | | |

**Do you have any additional comments that you would like to provide?**