

Stakeholder Comments Template

Subject: Market Initiatives Roadmap – High Level Ranking Process

Submitted by	Company	Date Submitted
<i>Ellen Wolfe</i>	<i>Resero Consulting for WPTF</i>	<i>July 31, 2009</i>

The ISO is requesting written comments on the *Preliminary Results of the High Level Prioritization of Market Enhancements* published on the ISO website and discussed at the July 23rd, 2009 stakeholder meeting. This template is offered as a guide for entities to submit comments; however participants are encouraged to submit comments in any form. Comments are due by July 30th, 2009.

All documents related to the Market Initiatives Roadmap Process are posted on the ISO Website at the following link: <http://caiso.com/1fb1/1fb1856366d60.html>

Upon completion of this template please submit (in MS Word) to MIRoadmap@caiso.com. Submissions are requested by close of business on Thursday, July 30, 2009.

Please answer the following questions on the results of the high level ranking:

1. Should rankings be different for the initiatives that the ISO ranked “High” in the preliminary ranking process? If yes:

a) Provide your revised ranking of the initiative

Please see next.

b) Explain what factors led to your ranking decision

WPTF appreciates the opportunity to provide input to the Road Map process. Generally, WPTF defers to the ranking preferences of its individual members. At this time, however, WPTF would like to inform the CAISO that we believe further clarification is needed regarding intertie Scheduling and any associated scheduling requirements at the interties. To that end WPTF supports assigning a high priority to a process whereby the CAISO can clarify tagging, as well as other, requirements associated with intertie schedules. WPTF does not at this time have a specific recommendation about the proper venue for these intertie scheduling issues, be it the Road Map process or otherwise.

2. Should rankings be different for the initiatives that the ISO ranked “Medium” or “Low” in the preliminary ranking process? If yes:
 - a) Provide your revised ranking of the initiative
 - b) Explain what factors led to your ranking decision
3. Are there initiatives that were missing from the Market Design Catalogue (or the presentation)?
 - a) Describe the Market Design Initiative to be added
 - b) Rank the initiative and provide the reasoning for your ranking.
4. Do you have any comments on or suggestions to improve the annual roadmap process?